

## EUROPEAN COMMISSION

HORIZON 2020 PROGRAMME - TOPIC H2020-GV-05-2017  
Electric vehicle user-centric design for optimised energy efficiency

GRANT AGREEMENT No. 769902



Design OptiMisation for efficient electric vehicles based on a  
USer-centric approach

### **DOMUS – Deliverable Report**

<< D3.6 Advanced surfaces to reduce heat generation  
and Report describing why, how and what >>

<b>Deliverable No.</b>	DOMUS D3.6	
<b>Related WP</b>	WP 3	
<b>Deliverable Title</b>	Advanced surfaces to reduce heat generation and Report describing why, how and what	
<b>Deliverable Date</b>	2021-01-31	
<b>Deliverable Type</b>	REPORT on development on optimized body panels with respect to improved heat capacity and processability	
<b>Dissemination level</b>	Confidential – member only (CO)	
<b>Written By</b>	Ralf Rickert (FHG)	2021-01-21
<b>Checked by</b>	Dr. Frank Schönberger (FHG)	2021-01-22
<b>Reviewed by (if applicable)</b>	Cédric Huillet (HUT) Hélder De Campos Garcia (HUT)	2021-02-04
<b>Approved by</b>	IDIADA	2021-03-08
	Cédric Huillet (HUT) Hélder De Campos Garcia (HUT)	2021-03-08
<b>Status</b>	Final	2021-03-03

### Change Log

Version	Modifications of document	Author	Date
001	Original version	Ralf Rickert	2021-01-21
002	Revised version considering remarks and comments from Hutchinson	Ralf Rickert	2021-02-17
003	Revised version considering remarks and comments from Hutchinson	Ralf Rickert	2021-03-05

### Disclaimer/ Acknowledgment



Copyright ©, all rights reserved. This document or any part thereof may not be made public or disclosed, copied or otherwise reproduced or used in any form or by any means, without prior permission in writing from the DOMUS Consortium. Neither the DOMUS Consortium nor any of its members, their officers, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible, in negligence or otherwise, for any loss, damage or expense whatever sustained by any person as a result of the use, in any manner or form, of any knowledge, information or data contained in this document, or due to any inaccuracy, omission or error therein contained.

All Intellectual Property Rights, know-how and information provided by and/or arising from this document, such as designs, documentation, as well as preparatory material in that regard, is and shall remain the exclusive property of the DOMUS Consortium and any of its members or its licensors. Nothing contained in this document shall give, or shall be construed as giving, any right, title, ownership, interest, license or any other right in or to any IP, know-how and information.

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 769902. The information and views set out in this publication does not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Commission. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf, may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.

## Publishable summary

When Phase Change Materials (PCMs) are used in electric cars, the leakage of any chemicals (e.g. paraffin) need to be excluded. There are two common ways to prevent paraffin from leakage: encapsulation or form stabilization. Our results in micro encapsulation show that it is possible to micro-encapsulate paraffin in different types of shells, but it is difficult to maximize the core shell ratio. A high core/shell ratio leads to better thermal properties and enthalpy but also to low resilience and vice versa. Paraffin in thermoplastics elastomers like SEBS as supporting material provides form-stable gel up to 90 weight (wt.) % paraffin content. A systematical investigation of molecular parameters of SEBS, molecular weight, styrene content and E/B ratio showed that the transition temperatures  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  depends on the molecular weight and styrene content and are mainly determined by the molecular weight of styrene block.  $T_1$  describes the transition from hard gel to soft gel and is shown by a local maximum of  $G''$  in rheological measurements.  $T_2$  describes the transition from gel to solution and exhibit by intersection from  $G'$  and  $G''$ . This transition temperature is mainly influenced by dissolving the styrene block rather than by the glass transition temperature  $T_g$  of polystyrene (PS).  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are also influenced by the paraffin: transition temperatures increase with increasing number of carbon atoms (C atoms) in the paraffin. By adding thermally conductive materials like aluminum, aluminum oxide or graphite, the thermal conductivity can be increased by simultaneous reducing the enthalpy. The lower the mass of the filler, the lower the enthalpy reduction. The leakage test revealed positive impact of the thermal conductive filler. Promising results in terms of low enthalpy reduction and good leakage behavior were obtained with graphite as thermally conductive filler.

Different concepts to increase the enthalpy and reduce the leakage behavior led to some experiments with silicone. The results show that platinum catalyzed silicones do not cure in the presence of SEBS. When alternative catalysts were used, curing of silicones was possible even in the presence of SEBS. However, silicones as supporting material decreases dramatically the enthalpy. The use of silicone as macro-encapsulation materials was not further investigated because of issues during processing (incomplete macro encapsulation). Macro-encapsulation was rather realized with pre-shaped barrier foils; SEBS/paraffin macro-encapsulated by PET/Al or PE/PA/EVOH/PA/PE multilayer sheets no observable leakage behavior in the isothermic oven testing at 60°C in the experimental time window about 160 h.

Cycle tests with up to 60 heating and cooling cycles (between 20°C and 60°C) of SEBS/paraffin gel show no influence on the thermal properties and the enthalpy is constant. Hybrid supporting material with PE/SEBS show lower thermo-mechanical properties than pure SEBS as supporting material also worse leakage behavior.

For further improvements of thermo-mechanical properties and retention behavior of the supporting material for RT18HC as PCM, chemical cross-linkable materials were investigated as an alternative for SEBS. Finally, EPDM Vistalon 5601P and SEEPS Septon V9461 could be cross-linked chemically. Both supporting materials show thermal stability up to 200 °C without any gel-sol transition. EPDM could not be investigated with our trials and the focus was thus on SEEPS. Further investigations with different ratio of initiator concentration and variation of cross-linking agent show the influence of these parameters on thermo-mechanical properties and leakage behavior. Three of the investigated variants show better thermo-mechanical properties and retention behavior than G1633/RT18HC, implemented in the demonstrator.

## 6 Acknowledgement

The author(s) would like to thank the partners in the project for their valuable comments on previous drafts and for performing the review.

### Project partners:

#	Partner	Partner Full Name
1	IDIADA	IDIADA AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY SA
2	CRF	CENTRO RICERCHE FIAT SCPA
3	TME	TOYOTA MOTOR EUROPE
4	Volvo Cars	VOLVO PERSONVAGNAR AB
5	AGC	AGC GLASS EUROPE SA
6	DNTS	DENSO Thermal Systems S.p.A.
7	Faurecia	Faurecia Sièges d'Automobile
8	HUTCH	HUTCHINSON SA
9	IEE	IEE International Electronics & Engineering S.A.
10	LIST	LUXEMBOURG INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
11	COV	COVENTRY UNIVERSITY
12	Fraunhofer	FRAUNHOFER GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FOERDERUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V.
13	IKA	RHEINISCH-WESTFAELISCHE TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE AACHEN
14	TECNALIA	FUNDACION TECNALIA RESEARCH & INNOVATION
15	VIF	Kompetenzzentrum - Das Virtuelle Fahrzeug, Forschungsgesellschaft mbH
16	UNR	UNIRESEARCH BV
17	FIS	Faurecia Interieur Industrie
19	FCA	Fiat Chrysler Automobiles Italy SPA



*This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement no. 769902*

## 7 Appendix A – Quality Assurance

The following questions should be answered by all reviewers (WP Leader, peer reviewer 1, peer reviewer 2 and the technical coordinator) as part of the Quality Assurance Procedure. Questions answered with NO should be motivated. The author will then make an updated version of the Deliverable. When all reviewers have answered all questions with YES, only then the Deliverable can be submitted to the EC.

NOTE: For public documents this Quality Assurance part will be removed before publication.

Question	WP Leader	Peer reviewer 1	Technical Coordinator
	Hélder DE CAMPOS GARCIA	Cédric HUILLET	IDIADA
<b>1. Do you accept this deliverable as it is?</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>2. Is the deliverable completely ready (or are any changes required)?</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>3. Does this deliverable correspond to the DoW?</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>4. Is the Deliverable in line with the DOMUS objectives?</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>a. WP Objectives?</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>b. Task Objectives?</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>5. Is the technical quality sufficient?</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes